| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|---|---|---|---|
| | 09/862,689 | BEUTLER ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Alexander Jamal | 2643 | |

**All Participants:** **Status of Application:** Allowed

(1) *Alexander Jamal*. (3) _____.

(2) *Christopher J. Rourke (39348)*. (4) _____.

**Date of Interview:** *3 January 2006* **Time:** *8am*

**Type of Interview:**
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:
*24*

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
*Examiner requested that claim 24 be made to depend from independent claim 19 instead of claim 23 (which is cancelled). Mr Rourke agreed to the change.*

**Part III.**

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)